



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/635,815	08/07/2003	Jay D. Knitter	200209059-1	9770
<div>22879      7590      03/05/2008</div> <div>HEWLETT PACKARD COMPANY</div> <div>P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD</div> <div>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION</div> <div>FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400</div>				
			<div>EXAMINER</div> <div>BIAGINI, CHRISTOPHER D</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT</div> <div>2142</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>NOTIFICATION DATE</div> <div>03/05/2008</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE</div> <div>ELECTRONIC</div>

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

JERRY.SHORMA@HP.COM  
mkraft@hp.com  
ipa.mail@hp.com

## Interview Summary

Application No.

10/635,815

Applicant(s)

KNITTER, JAY D.

Examiner

CHRISTOPHER D. BIAGINI

Art Unit

2142

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTOPHER D. BIAGINI.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Keith Robinson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 27 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 6, 15 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



ANDREW CALDWELL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Mr. Robinson requested clarification regarding the rejection under 35 USC 112, 1st paragraph. I clarified the technical issues presented on pages 6-8 of the Office action mailed on September 26, 2009, and noted that these issues were but one of the factors considered in the rejection. No agreement was reached with regard to the rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph. I also indicated that the amendments to claims 6, 15, and 2 were sufficient to overcome the rejection of those claims under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph..